

NOTICE OF EXEMPTION

SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND BUILDING 976 OSOS STREET + ROOM 200 + SAN LUIS OBISPO + CALIFORNIA 93408 + (805)781-5600

Project Title and No.: County of San Luis Obis Amendments, LRP2015-00011, ED15-282	spo Ag. Preserves General Plan	
Project Location(Specific address):	Project Applicant/Phone No./Email: See attached list/ / Applicant Address (Street, City, State, Zip): See attached list	
See attached list (5 separate locations)		
Project Location(County):		
San Luis Obispo		
Description of Nature, Purpose and Beneficiarie	es of Project	
the request is to bring properties that do not currently have Agr Use Element, and properties that do not currently have Agricul designation within the Conservation and Open Space Element Land Conservation Act of 1965 (Williamson Act) by changing the	Open Space Element land use designations from Large Lot operties recently put into agricultural preserves. The purpose of riculture or Open Space as a land use category within the Land ture (Land Under Conservation Contract) as a land use into conformance with the Rules of Procedure to Implement the he categories and designations to Agriculture or Open Space.	
Name of Public Agency Approving Project: Cou	nty of San Luis Obispo	
Exempt Status: (Check One)		
☐ Declared Emergency {Sec. 2 ☐ Emergency Project {Sec. 2 ☐ Categorical Exemption. {Sec ☐ Statutory Exemption {Sec	21080(b)(1); 15268} 21080(b)(3); 15269(a)} 21080(b)(4); 15269(b)(c)} ; Class:} } 15061(b)(3)}	
categories & open space designations and will physical effects on the environment. The prop	designations to more (or equally restrictive) land use therefore not result in potential increases in osed amendments will simply bring recently with the Williamson Act provision that requires	
Stephanie Fuhs (sfuhs@co.slo.ca.us)	(805)781-5600	
Lead Agency Contact Person	Telephone	
If filed by applicant: 1. Attach certified document of exemption finding. 2. Has a notice of exemption been filed by the page 1.		
Signature Office	W Tull Date May 18, 2016	
Name (Print) <u>Stephanie Ful</u>	hs Title Planner III	
On the project was A	Approved by:	
☐ Board of Supervisors ☐ Subdivision ☐ Planning Commission ☐ Planning De	Review Board	

Attachment 2-J: General Rule Exemption Form

- Clarke Jorian Clarke, 131 W. Seeboth St., Ste. A, Milwaukee, WI 53204
 Jorian Clarke 131westseeboth@gmail.com (414) 305-7742
 Site address: 9017 Goldie Lane, Santa Margarita, CA 93453
- Attig Bruce Attig, 704 No. Juanita, Redondo Beach, CA 90277
 bruce attig@MSSB.com (310) 963-8309
 Site address: Cuyama Highway, Santa Maria, CA 93454
- Keller Larry Keller, 3813 Mesa Grande, Bakersfield, CA 93304
 dogs4@pacbell.net (661) 747-4415
 Site address: 1175 Upper Los Berros Road, Arroyo Grande, CA 93420
- Attiyeh Foundation 210 S. Canyon View Drive, Los Angeles, CA 90049
 (310) 394-3799
 Site address: No situs address, no structures
- Procter James Procter, 1167 Say Road, Santa Paula, CA 93060
 jprocter@wps-law.net (805)278-0920
 Site address: 2980 Atascadero Road, Morro Bay, CA 93442

Attachment 2-J: General Rule Exemption Form

Project Title and No.: County of San Luis Obispo Ag. Preserves General Plan Amendments, LRP2015-00011, ED15-282

Pursuant to section 15061 of the State California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines, the preliminary review of a project includes a determination as to whether a project is exempt from CEQA. This checklist represents a summary of this project's review for exemption.

			<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
1.	Does this project fall within any exempt class as listed in sections 15 15329 of the State CEQA Guidelines?	301 through		
2.	Is there a reasonable possibility that the project could have a signific the environment due to unusual circumstances?	ant effect on		
3.	Is the project inconsistent with any Federal, State, or local law or adrequirement relating to the environment?	ninistrative		
4.	Will the project involve substantial public controversy regarding environments:	onmental		\boxtimes
5.	Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the envisubstantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a figure population to drop below self-sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the most California history or prehistory?	ish or wildlife a plant or or		⊠
6.	Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term environment the disadvantage of achieving long-term environmental goals? (A shimpact on the environment is one which occurs in a relatively brief, diperiod of time while long-term impacts will endure well into the future	ort-term efinitive		
7.	Does the project have adverse impacts which are individually insignificant cumulatively significant? Cumulatively significant means that the inceffects of an individual project are substantially adverse when viewed connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other curre and the effects of probable future projects.	remental d in		
8.	Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substa effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly?	ntial adverse		\boxtimes
	e basis of this initial evaluation, I find that the proposed project does not a significant effect on the environment, and is therefore exempt from		tential 1	to
Stepl	Stephanie Fuhs May 18, 2016			
for El	len Carroll, Environmental Coordinator	Date		